

24 NOV 2020

Fitch Affirms Aareal Bank at 'BBB+'; Negative Outlook

Fitch Ratings - Frankfurt am Main - 24 Nov 2020: Fitch Ratings has affirmed Aareal Bank AG's (Aareal) Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) at 'BBB+' and Viability Rating (VR) at 'bbb+' and removed them from Rating Watch Negative (RWN). The Outlook on the Long-Term IDR is Negative. A full list of rating actions is below.

The affirmation of Aareal's Long-Term IDR and VR and removal from RWN reflects our view that the economic fallout from the coronavirus crisis represents a medium-term risk to Aareal's ratings, rather than a near-term risk, which was our base case when we placed the ratings on RWN in April 2020. Aareal's relative resilience in 9M20 suggests that the bank should be able to retain a financial profile commensurate with its VR through the crisis, even though its earnings and asset quality are likely to face some near-term pressure.

The Negative Outlook on Aareal's Long-Term IDR reflects downside risks to our expectations of Aareal's relative resilience as a materially slower than expected economic recovery could have a greater weight on its earnings and asset quality than our base case suggests.

Key Rating Drivers

IDRs, VR AND SENIOR NON-PREFERRED UNSECURED (SNP) DEBT RATING

Aareal's VR reflects the bank's focus on the cyclical commercial real estate (CRE) sector as part of its established business model, as well as its exposure to the associated risks of this market. The bank remains in particular exposed to COVID-19 related effects in its hotel and retail segments. At the same time, the bank's stable recent performance, geographical diversification, diversified funding mix and sound capitalisation support the VR.

Due to de-risking measures, Aareal's reported nonperforming loan (NPL) ratio (which includes off-balance sheet, fair value NPLs and IFRS Stage 3 loans in the numerator) decreased to 3.9% at end-3Q20 from 4.2% at end-2019 and from the recent peak of 7.3% at end-2Q19. The reduction was achieved mainly through the sale and repayment of NPLs. However, we expect NPL inflows to increase in 2021 when state support measures recede and the effect of the crisis on asset quality becomes more apparent.

Aareal has elevated exposure to hotels (33% of total real estate loans at end-3Q20) and retail property (23% at end-3Q20), partially in more volatile regions. Both segments have been the most affected by

the pandemic so far. If business travel does not return to close to pre-pandemic levels in the short term, these segments are likely to remain under pressure for longer.

The bank's profitability was under pressure in 9M20, reporting a significantly lower operating profit/risk-weighted assets (RWA) relative to 2019, as loan impairment charges (LICs) more than doubled. A large part of Aareal's LICs was related to management overlays, rather than actual defaults, in light of the expected deterioration in asset quality. The bank's revenues have been broadly stable, with only a slight decrease in net interest income, Aareal's main revenue source, due to lower new business volumes due to the COVID-19 pandemic. In contrast, margins have held up well so far. We expect the bank's profitability to recover in 2021 as business volumes recover, as we do not expect the same severe lockdown restrictions as in 2020.

We expect the bank's pre-impairment profit generation to remain a sufficient first line of defence through the crisis. Our base case assumes that Aareal will maintain a four-year average operating profit/ RWA of at least 1.5% through the crisis, in line with its earnings and profitability score of 'bbb+'.

Aareal's capitalisation remains a rating strength in our view, given its sound common equity Tier 1 (CET1) ratio of 20.4% at end-3Q20, well above the SREP CET1 requirement of 8.28% in 2020 (with Pillar 2 requirements relief). The partial sale (30%) of its IT subsidiary Aareon closed in November 2020 and will contribute about EUR180 million (after tax) to the bank's consolidated equity, adding about 150bp to the bank's CET1 ratio on a pro-forma basis. The bank is also not constrained by its regulatory leverage ratio, which at 6% at end-3Q20 compares well with other specialised CRE lenders. In our view, the bank is well positioned to absorb the impact of regulatory changes on its RWA, such as the finalisation of the Basel III framework, including the output floor, and the effects from prudential provisioning guidelines for non-performing exposures, given its pro-forma CET1 ratio of 14.2%.

Aareal's funding is diversified and consists primarily of deposits from the institutional housing sector and Pfandbriefe. The bank's reliance on unsecured market funding is reduced by its deposit funding, which has been a reliable and stable source of funding for the bank. The bank's unsecured funding is predominantly derived from private placements from an established investor base.

The bank's Short-Term IDR of 'F2' corresponds to the lower of the two options mapping to a 'BBB+' Long-Term IDR and reflects Fitch's assessment of Aareal's funding and liquidity.

DERIVATIVE COUNTERPARTY RATING (DCR), DEPOSIT AND SENIOR PREFERRED DEBT RATINGS

Aareal's DCR, long-term deposit rating and long-term senior preferred debt rating are rated one notch above the Long-Term IDR due to the bank's large buffer of senior non-preferred debt of 43% of RWAs at end-2Q20. In our view, this buffer provides the bank's preferred creditors and counterparties with considerable additional protection compared with senior non-preferred creditors in resolution. The bank's short-term deposit rating and short-term senior preferred debt rating at 'F2' is the baseline option corresponding to the long-term deposit rating and long-term senior preferred debt rating of 'A-'.

Two rated notes (DE000A12T762, DE000A12T705) contain embedded derivatives and therefore rank as senior preferred debt under the German resolution regime.

SUPPORT RATING (SR) AND SUPPORT RATING FLOOR (SRF)

Aareal's SR and SRF reflect Fitch's view that due to the EU's Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive (BRRD), under which senior creditors can no longer rely on full extraordinary support from the sovereign if the bank becomes non-viable.

TIER 2 SUBORDINATED DEBT AND HYBRID SECURITIES

Aareal's Tier 2 notes are notched down twice from the VR at 'BBB-', to reflect higher loss severity of this type of debt relative to senior debt. The Basel III-compliant additional Tier 1 (AT1 notes) are rated four notches below the VR. These notes are notched down twice for loss severity, due to their deep subordination and write-down on breach of the 7% CET1 trigger, and twice for incremental non-performance risk relative to the VR to reflect fully discretionary coupon payments.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

The Long-Term IDR and SNP debt ratings are sensitive to changes in Aareal's VR. The DCR, deposit ratings and senior preferred debt ratings are primarily sensitive to changes in the bank's IDRs. The ratings of the Tier 2 and AT1 notes are primarily sensitive to changes in the VR as well as changes in their notching.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/ upgrade:

The Outlook on the Long-Term IDR could be revised to Stable if the bank's operating environment stabilises and the bank successfully manages the challenges arising from the crisis, limiting downside risks to its asset quality and profitability, while maintaining current capital levels.

An upgrade of Aareal's VR is unlikely in the near term, given the risks posed by the coronavirus shock. An upgrade of the VR would require significant progress in the diversification of the bank's business model into revenue sources that are not directly correlated or rely on the bank's dominant large-ticket CRE lending.

An upgrade of Aareal's IDRs would trigger an upgrade of the DCR, SP debt and deposit ratings, unless the buffer of junior and SNP debt decreases below the level required to maintain the one-notch uplift above the bank's Long-Term IDR. This could be the case if the bank decides to use SP debt to meet its resolution buffer requirement, and if this reduces the buffer of junior and SNP debt below 10% of its RWAs.

An upgrade of Aareal's SR and upward revision of the bank's SRF would require a higher propensity of sovereign support. The BRRD makes this highly unlikely, albeit not impossible.

An upgrade of Aareal's VR would lead to an upgrade of the Tier 2 and AT1 notes.

Factors that could individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/ downgrade:

A quicker than expected or a more significant deterioration in the bank's NPL ratio, for example if we expect it to exceed 6% without a clear path of reducing it below this level, would trigger a downgrade of the bank's VR. Furthermore, if earnings weaken through asset quality pressure or stresses in the property market related to COVID-19, to the extent that it would ultimately weaken Aareal's substantial capital buffers, we would also likely downgrade the bank.

A downgrade of Aareal's IDRs would trigger a downgrade of the DCR, SP debt and deposit ratings. We could also downgrade these ratings if we expect the buffer of junior and SNP debt to decrease, in particular if the bank decides to use SP debt to meet its resolution buffer requirements, unless the buffer of junior and SNP debt is likely to remain sustainably above 10% of its RWAs.

Aareal's SR and SRF are at the lowest levels on Fitch's rating scales and therefore cannot be downgraded.

A downgrade of Aareal's VR would lead to a downgrade of the Tier 2 and AT1 notes. The ratings of the AT1 notes could also be downgraded if we see a heightened risk of capital cushions above maximum distributable amount trigger points falling below 100bp.

Best/Worst Case Rating Scenario

International scale credit ratings of Financial Institutions and Covered Bond issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of four notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit [<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579>]

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

ESG Considerations

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg

Fitch Ratings Analysts

Markus Glabach

Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+49 69 768076 195

Fitch Ratings – a branch of Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited Neue Mainzer Strasse 46 - 50 Frankfurt am Main D-60311

Caroline Lehmann

Associate Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+49 69 768076 176

Konstantin Yakimovich

Senior Director

Committee Chairperson

+44 20 3530 1789

Media Contacts





Louisa Williams

London

+44 20 3530 2452

louisa.williams@thefitchgroup.com

Rating Actions

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING	RECOVERY	PRIOR	
Aareal Bank AG	LT IDR	BBB+ 	Affirmed	BBB+ 
	ST IDR	F2	Affirmed	F2
	Viability	bbb+	Affirmed	bbb+ 
	Support	5	Affirmed	5
	Support Floor	NF	Affirmed	NF
	DCR	A-(dcr)	Affirmed	A-(dcr) 

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING	RECOVERY	PRIOR
• subordinated	BBB-	Affirmed	BBB- ◊
• subordinated	BB	Affirmed	BB ◊
• long-term deposits LT	A-	Affirmed	A- ◊
• Senior preferred LT	A-	Affirmed	A- ◊
• Senior non-preferred LT	BBB+	Affirmed	BBB+ ◊
• short-term deposits ST	F2	Affirmed	F2
• Senior preferred ST	F2	Affirmed	F2

RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

POSITIVE	⊕	◊
NEGATIVE	⊖	◊
EVOLVING	◊	◆
STABLE	⊙	

Applicable Criteria

[Bank Rating Criteria \(pub.28 Feb 2020\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

Additional Disclosures

[Solicitation Status](#)

[Endorsement Status](#)

Disclaimer

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/RATING-DEFINITIONS-DOCUMENT](https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document) DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH RATINGS WEBSITE.

Copyright

Copyright © 2020 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and

practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days

earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Endorsement policy

Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the [EU Regulatory Disclosures](#) page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.